

BCT*



**The Beginning of study
of the End Behavior
P. Arthur Stuart*

(Place inside of Cover.)

DEFINITION

BCI \ cī pronounced like sigh \ NOUN [**B**ETWEEN THE **C**CHEEKS **I**ndividual (**I**ndividual, **I**nstitution or **I**ntity)] (1982) \ PLURAL, POSSESSIVE OR BOTH -- 's: 1. ASSHOLE, POLITE FORM. 2. INDIVIDUAL, GROUP OR ORGANIZATION THAT EXHIBITS ANUS-TYPE ACTION OR BEHAVIOR. 3. DUMB, STUPID, OR ANY ADJECTIVE THAT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF REPREHENSIBLE CHARACTER OR BEHAVIOR. Alternate 1: BCP [**B**ETWEEN THE **C**CHEEKS **P**erson (**P**erson or **P**eople)] Alternate 2: BCE [**B**ETWEEN THE **C**CHEEKS **E**ntity (**E**ntity or **E**ntities)] For reference: bci is both singular and plural, like sheep.

SYNONYMS

Butthead, Butthole, Anal Retentive, Anal, Asshole, Ass, Rear-ender, One-eye, . . .

(Place inside of Cover.)

BCI*

*The Beginning
Study of
the End Behavior*

P. Arthur Stuart

Publishing data

Copyright Reference Number, TXu1-304-127;
Effective Date, May 11, 2006

*To all those,
that provided me with
inspiration,
by their examples.*

A fundamental Law of Nature

*All People are
BCI's at some time,*

*Many People are
BCI's much of the time,*

*And there are a few people that are
BCI's most of the time.*

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	9
Conceptualization	13
Amateur VS Professional.....	19
Real VS Imaginary.....	20
Open-Unrestricted Types	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Miscellaneous	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Multiple.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
COMPLETE	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Broad-Specific Types.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Authoritative	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Special.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Situational	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Sorry.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Opinionated (Philosophical)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Macho/Feminist	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Parent/Child	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Boss.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Vehicle	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Alcohol.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Ultimate.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Sports/Athletics.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Educational	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Grading	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Unofficial	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Hall of Fame	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Conclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Appendices.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
APPENDIX A -- List of Abbreviations.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

** WARNING *** WARNING *** WARNING **

From time-to-time, I, or rather you might say this bci, tends to express opinions on controversial and/or serious subject matter. I'm not so sure there are any subjects that I consider that controversial or serious. However, since a dear friend—I really do have a few and they're the best—of mine pointed out that these views may adversely change the general flow or trend of this work or they may be offensive to others, as well as bci's, If I determine there are any of these statements, they will appear in a box such as this one. If you have a weak stomach or do not wish to get the least bit serious, you should skip them. If these views are ignored, I believe it will detract little, if any, from the overall study of bci's. Don't be surprised if you don't find any of these boxes. However, if you can relate, that even in the worst situations and cases you will find there is some point to it all, then you might want to read them afterwards. If you are as warped as I am, just read along as you go. But for the record "Warning reading this material may contribute further to your insanity and subsequent committal."

** WARNING *** WARNING *** WARNING **

The Basic Axiom of BCI'ism

**People are the only specie
capable of BCI behavior.**

Introduction

BCI \ cī pronounced like sigh \ NOUN [**BETWEEN THE CHEEKS Individual (Individual, Institution or Identity)**] (1982) \ PLURAL, POSSESSIVE OR BOTH -- 'S: 1. ASSHOLE, POLITE FORM. 2. INDIVIDUAL, GROUP OR ORGANIZATION THAT EXHIBITS ANUS-TYPE ACTION OR BEHAVIOR. 3. DUMB, STUPID, OR ANY ADJECTIVE THAT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF REPREHENSIBLE CHARACTER OR BEHAVIOR. Alternate 1: BCP [**BETWEEN THE CHEEKS Person (Person or People)**] Alternate 2: BCE [**BETWEEN THE CHEEKS Entity (Entity or Entities)**] For reference: bci is both singular and plural, like sheep.

- Chosen because so many bci's are offended by the word asshole(s).
- It can be used anywhere (including national TV), and it is four letters less to write/type. *Considering that it will be used in this document more than 100 times, that's a savings of over 400 letters. Four hundred letters that I don't have to write/type and you don't have to read. You might say, What a bci!*
- Because bci is pronounced like the word sigh—we can actually substitute a sigh for the spoken word. You can use a suspiration for a really large bci. The bci probably won't know it is being addressed and even if it knows, it can't tell for sure that you're not just sighing.
- You are probably wondering what kind of bci would write a book like this anyway? Well, you'll just have to read on and figure it out. By the way, many people will say what kind of bci reads this stuff?

Throughout this book, “*it*” is used as the personal pronoun for a bci. It (or its, the possessive) refers to either male or female, since behaving like a bci really has no preference for gender and is not selective of any personal traits. Although there are situations that seem to bring out bci behavior more so in one of the sexes, in general, it does not appear that one sex happens to be more inclined to bci behavior.

It is easy to understand how someone might question my sanity and wonder how I ever got started on this journey through the world of bci's. Well, technically speaking, it started when a well-known comedian used the word “asshole” during a TV routine, on a cable channel. I chose not to identify it, the comedian, because it has turned out to be one of the biggest bci's. Neither before nor after using “asshole” did the comedian use any word that could not be used on national TV during Sunday gospel time; nevertheless, he was still very funny.

Shortly afterwards I began to ponder the question, *Why didn't the comedian use another word?* Why use the word asshole and make that the only part of his routine not fit for broadcast TV? What other word or words could he have used? The following is a partial list of possible substitutes:

Idiot ... stupid ... dumb ... moron ... jerk ... slob ... uncouth ... f_{___}⁽¹⁾... mf⁽¹⁾

...¹ freak ... odd ball ... jackass ... crazy⁽¹⁾... turkey ... sob⁽²⁾ ... dork ... nerd

Example of “Stupid” as a modifier. Let me use this personal case of *stupid bci* behavior. In the following case, the stupidity was not an intentional act but nevertheless the result was stupid bci behavior. There are cases of malicious stupid bci behavior, but you will see this one was just plain stupid.

When I was nineteen, I went to a wedding with my mother. My father had died a couple of years earlier. Although my heart was in the right place, my mind was not. While I was growing up, and I still have a way to go, my parents kidded each other about their song, which was “Heart Aches.” My stupid bci behavior was to ask the band to play that song. Fortunately, the band was not stupid—they didn’t play it.

In looking carefully at the many, many possible synonyms, alternate words, and expressions, the inescapable conclusion is that *asshole* is uniquely defined; no other word or expression conveys an equivalent feeling as does bci.

- However, there are times when an adjective or adverb is appropriate to clarify, intensify or give special meaning to bci; for example, stupid bci, a gigantic bci, the crazy bci, the dumb, moronic, uncouth bci. You can see that without the bci, the meaning just isn’t the same.

Webster’s Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary Defines Asshole as:

- 1: Anus
- 2: A stupid, incompetent or detestable person.

Another dictionary had a similar first definition, the second was slightly different; 2: a contemptible person or thing.

- Without any doubt in my mind, these definitions are grossly inadequate.
- No amount of explanation could adequately convey the correct meaning or the special significance that the word *asshole* may hold for each person, particularly at the time they use it.
- It was interesting to note that *asshole* is included only in the most recent dictionary editions, from about 1984 or around that time. While I did not undertake an exhaustive search, I could not find *asshole* in any dictionary published prior to 1984, that I had ready access to. The search included several unabridged dictionaries. Dictionaries looked at were in numerous libraries and in bookstores.

Perhaps it is important to state here that the accuracy of my observations and recall of events presented maybe questionable. While the time, place, and personage may deviate—ah, good choice of a word—from the actual event, the essence of it, is what matters and are documented, factually, to the best of my ability. I have not done any research into the psychological

¹ Fill in the blank with any word on the list, almost any derogatory pronoun, adjective or combination will do. ² DOB for female.

manifestation of a bci or their psyche. I want to state here that it is not my intent to explain why people behave as bci's, I'll let the professional bci's do that, and there are certainly a lot of them that call themselves professionals.

As you read, you will see, perhaps, yourself and many others you know. It's a rare ability to look at one's self and admit you're a bci or you made a mistake.

You might even consider telling someone you read about them.

Better yet, you can help support the author and publisher by sending those bci's you know a copy of this book with the appropriate lines highlighted.

In many things we do, as so-called intelligent beings, we exhibit a high degree of bci-ism and bci traits. The point is, in general, humanity is made up of a bunch of bci's. Perhaps you can find an exception here and there, or a standout in many specific circumstances. However, in examining the overall picture, you can see that we all fit someplace into the world of bci's.

As a race, or more appropriately, animal species, we have caused more unnecessary destruction and harm than any other living group, perhaps more than all groups that exist and are extinct combined. The fact is some extinct species are providing us with resources. We constantly lose sight of what matters and what is important.

Just a little philosophy on why we have bci's. First, understand that we can probably never be free of bci's, even if we are alone on a deserted isle.

Picture yourself walking, barefooted, along a beautiful sandy beach. The sun is warming and bright. The waves are rolling in quietly, soothing your nerves, you are at peace with yourself and the world—you are in paradise. Screaming in anguish, you hop once, and then fall. You look at your foot; it is bleeding profusely, cut on a broken bottle. Some bci broke its bottle and then covered it up, possibly on purpose.

Even if someone, you for instance, could find some place that was isolated from the rest of the world and all remnants of the world were gone, would it really be free of a bci? Since man (figuratively speaking) is a social animal (boy, some of us are real animals or bci's), one must wonder if the person who removes himself/herself from the world isn't some kind of a bci? Perhaps a smart one!

In the final analysis it can be seen very simply that, like good and evil, black and white, . . ., the bci is needed to fulfill the roll of its opposite, the non-bci. At this point in the unabridged version, you would have found a disclaimer. However, since most of the real controversial material has been removed, it has been omitted.

We might ask some questions:

- Is BCI'ism a genetic or learned behavior?
- Could it be similar to smoking addiction or alcoholism?
- If is like alcoholism, can it be controlled on a day-to-day basis?
- Is it curable and/or manageable?
- Are there any preventive (assuming the individual is a mentally capable) measures?

Is BCI'ism a sickness? Is it like alcohol? You can drink some once-in-awhile or you can be hooked; unable to perform without it.

I'm sure that you probably know a few BCI's. If suddenly they were to change their behavior to non-bci, you would suspect they were sick. Since, nearly every mentally capable person, one-time-or-another, will occasionally behave in some manner as a bci. Therefore, we can assume that no-one has an immunity.

Conceptualization

The Fundamental Axiom of BCI'ism

**BCI behavior is
an equal opportunity field.**

As one studies the bci phenomenon and moves through the types of bci's, it can be seen that a person may fit more than one of the types. Actually, most people will fit a multitude of types. Details of the various types are explained in later chapters. Let's illustrate. Nearly anyone that has worked a long time has probably worked for a *royal bci*. If so, the following types would most likely also apply:

- Authoritative
- Frequent
- Situational
- Real, etc., . . .

Therefore, when the various types are examined, there will be an effort to establish standardized criteria for determining types. These standards will be used when judging or awarding points. The ease by which we will grade the various bci's is dependent upon type and difficulty factors.

For example, an authoritative bci might be considered a bigger bci than an occasional bci. A person awarding points would therefore give a higher score to the authoritative bci. Therefore, to promote fairness, grading should compare equals, that is, apples to apples, oranges to oranges and a royal bci to another royal bci.

As one studies the phenomenon of bci'ism, there are certain traits which seem to stand out and run hand-in-hand with the bci-type personality or behavior. It can easily be seen that these traits are associated with values most people consider negative. Even most bci's would agree, if it's not them being referred to. Here is a partial list of those traits:

smug	offensive	boring
egotist	rude	lazy
arrogant	insincere	sarcastic
inconsiderate	callous	abusive
insensitive	blunt	reprehensible
selfish	vulgar	twitish
snobbish	conceited	liar
materialistic	pompous	obstinate
unreasonable	antagonistic	drone
uncouth	discourteous	annoying

bad mannered	tactless	foul
greedy	impolite	bigot/hypocrite
lazy	ungrateful	fanatic
stupid	vindictive	pretentious
dumb	spitefuldupe	...

There is also a kind of bci that is not really a bci. One might call this type a crazy bci. To fit this mold, the following guidelines apply:

- This bci is basically harmless.
- Words that describe this bci are mischievous, weird, spontaneous, odd, unpredictable, funny, . . .
- This type of bci does things to be funny or romantic, to make people like them.

As one moves through the types of bci's, one may wonder why the stupid bci has not specifically been defined as a type. Well, basically, all bci's might be considered stupid bci's. For this study, the various types will be structured into three categories by the degree of bci'ism, as follows:

First degree—Restricted (R) Type of BCI:

The R type bci might well be considered a sub-specialty of one of the B-S types. The R type bci's performance is extremely limited and narrow in scope and range; for example, boss, vehicle.

Second degree—Broad-Specific (B-S) Type of BCI:

The B-S type bci falls into a specific range and scope which can be assigned a title 'of sorts'; for example, authoritative, special, sorry.

Third degree—Open-Unrestricted (O-U) Type of BCI:

The O-U type bci is characterized by the unlimited or very general range of coverage. BCI's of this type are either a multiple or miscellaneous.

Note: The degree scale follows that of the burn scale, the higher the degree, the greater or more severe the bci.

In addition to the degree type of bci's, there are two other dependent types which are ASSociated with them. A dependent type must be identified with another bci.

Dependent type 1, Reciprocating type BCI:

Reciprocating—to return in kind or degree. The reciprocating type bci is someone who reacts to a bci by being a bci in response. This bci might well be thought of as the Newtonian BCI because of Newton's Law, *For every reaction, there is an equal and opposite reaction*. Therefore, it follows, *For every type of bci, there is an equal and opposite bci*.

Dependent type 2, ASSociative type BCI:

The ASSociative type bci is someone who goes along with a bci indeed or supports, either in a participative or passive mode.

Consider also a couple of modifiers to the basic bci types.

Modifying type 1, Direct type BCI:

A direct bci, of course the most common of the two, is one that a participant, observer, or evaluator knows or is affected by the bci's performance.

Modifying type 2, Indirect type BCI:

With the indirect bci there is no effect and the bci is unknown to an observer.

A news item which provided an excellent example of an indirect bci was the one about a man that got stopped for drunk driving. After being pulled over and during the police officers review of the driver's skills, an accident occurred nearby. The man was told to remain where he was, while the police officer walked over to check on the nearby accident. When the police officer returned, the man was gone. However, the officer still had the man's license. When the officer, along with a few other police officers, confronted the man at his home, he said, "It couldn't have been me. I've been home sick and in bed all day." His wife confirmed this. The police then asked him if they might have a look in his garage to which he said OK. When the garage was opened, they found the police car, the one the police officer was using when he stopped the man; the flashing lights were still on.

There are many facets relating to bci personality and behavior which must be considered.

Personal factors

☼ I.Q.

☼ Age

☼ Sex (that is, gender)

☼ Education (or lack of)

Frequency

☼ Number of events

☼ Average number of performances per event

Relationship

☼ Family—spouse, kids, relatives, . . .

☼ Social—friend, club member, . . .

☼ Business—coworkers, boss, peer, . . .

☼ Professional—doctor, lawyer, politician, thief, . . .

☼ Random—waitress, clerk, another shopper or student, . . .

Stimulants

☼ Physical—vehicle, boat, alcohol, . . .

☼ Abstract—authority, power, beliefs, . . .

☼ Imaginary—ego, intelligence (lack of), . . .

Amateur VS Professional __

Since we will establish a grading² system, the inevitable question will arise, “Is it fair for an amateur to compete against a professional?” Let’s examine some of the issues.

- For vehicles, one might say that a truck or taxi driver is a professional because he/she is training and performing as part of a paid job; therefore, a professional is someone that:
 1. Performs in an area that is part of a job.
 2. Is paid for the job or performance.

To establish professional status, a bci must perform for a minimum of four hours per week for four consecutive weeks.

Note: Professional status is relinquished if 400 consecutive days have elapsed without a professional performance.

² A recommended grading system has been devised for which a chapter has been dedicated.

Real VS Imaginary __

It becomes apparent that in an event there is a very great probability that each person in an event considers the other (all others) person(s) as a bci. For discussion sake we'll just use two people; each thinking the other is a bci, and/or worse. Unfortunately, in many of these cases both persons are real bci's of one type or another. But for a moment let's imagine that one is a real bci and the other person is OK, that is, not a bci in this example.

For example, let's say there is this driver, in a car (for those of you bci's that would say a driver can't be on the highway without a vehicle) moving along, on a major highway, in the far-right lane doing the maximum speed limit. Now imagine another driver, let's put him in an oversize pickup truck or something larger, approaching the first driver at a speed of 80 mph, same direction and same lane. When the second driver gets within ten feet of the first, he (or she) brakes, screeches the wheels, picks up speed quickly and then remains inches away as they move along. Well, the first driver, if he has not had a heart attack, is very nervous and continually looks in their rearview mirror, wondering what kind of crazy bci follows so closely at speeds like these. The second driver is very angry and wonders what kind of chicken bci drives so slowly. Why the hell doesn't the bci get off the damn highway anyway and let people who know how to drive do their thing. To the first driver the second driver is a real bci and vice versa.

For argumentative purposes, let's have an objective observer with a set of acceptable values to evaluate the event. (S)He would find that one of the drivers was a real bci and the other an imaginary one. In this case, anyone that would find a safe, law-abiding, and conscientious driver a real bci, must themselves be a real bci. For the record let's say that an imaginary bci is a person who a real bci thinks is a bci and that an unbiased observer, qualified judge, evaluates the person as OK.

In those situations where both parties are real bci's, matters are complicated more, and evaluation is increasingly difficult.

Suppose in the previous case the first driver was doing 35 mph or less than the minimum speed, which for this case is 40 mph. And then it kept stepping on its brakes and refused to let the speeding tailgater get by. This would have to be on a single-lane road.

The possibility also exists where each party to a situation thinks the other is a bci but a qualified judge (see grading chapter) evaluating the event, might find that neither is a bci. This would constitute an event with two imaginary bci's. In general, this is very unlikely, but possible—the odds would be about the same as winning a lottery. This can be understood if you picture someone complaining, in a calm, non-offensive way, about a product that is truly unsatisfactory and a representative that really cannot do anything about it. Well maybe it happens more often than lottery winners.